From: Nick Harvey

Sent: 20 December 2022 22:28

To: Sunnica Energy Farm <Sunnica@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: Sunnica Industrial Power Station ISH3

My unique Interested Party reference number: 20030094

Many Thanks for accepting my submission.

Having now listened to ISH3 there are just two points to make.

1) On battery safety

It is clear that this is a very technical issue (I have been a teacher of electrical theory so can well understand the points made), and that the Applicant is unable to give detail at this stage. Under these circumstances I feel that there is no way that the battery safety can be understood, guaranteed, or assured. Indeed the technology is evolving at such a rate that regulation is changing all the time. Under the light of experience I believe that there should be no approval of a battery system until it is specified, planned, designed and tested as described by the applicants consultant. Any approval to build a BESS that does not meet the higher of the current and predicted standard would be inappropriate. Further to this any change of technology going forward should be required to meet safety standards operable at the commissioning of the new equipment.

(the higher the density of the energy storage directly increases the risk of accident)

2) On rights of way etc.

I fully support Camilla Rose and other Council members about the rights of way extensions, and educational notice boards etc. I suggested to Sunnica in the Consultation that a viewing platform, exhibition, and walking tracks,

nature/.country parks be included in the scheme and this suggestion has so far been ignored, so it was good to hear that they are now willing al least to talk about this and Section 106 agreements, and I would hope that this becomes a legal requirement in any approval of the scheme in any part.

I still believe that this scheme should be rejected in full due to the size, scale, location and harms that will be permanently caused.

Many Thanks Best Regards Nick Harvey